

16  
Response  
P.06  
8/15/03  
mg

Attorney Docket No. 00-VE22.07A (RFG: 65632-0107)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

|   |            |                 |                |
|---|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| In re application of:                         | Curry      | Group Art Unit: | 2665           |
| Serial No.:                                   | 09/514,371 | Examiner:       | Nguyen, Steven |
| Filed:  | 02/28/00   | Paper No.       | 15             |
| For: INTERNET LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE SERVICE |            |                 |                |

Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

|  |                      |
|--|----------------------|
| <b>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))</b>   |                      |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:  |                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service<br><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile to the<br>Patent and Trademark Office to<br>Examiner Steven Nguyen<br>at 703-872-9314<br>(fax number) |                      |
| _____ first class mail, postage prepaid in an envelope addressed<br>to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231  |                      |
| _____ Express Mail, Post Office to Addressee, Mailing Label<br>No. _____ addressed to Commissioner for Patents,<br>Washington DC 20231   |                      |
| <br>Signature  |                      |
| Date: August 11, 2003  | Name: Diane R. Lytle |

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Dear Sir:

Applicant responds to the Office Action mailed April 10, 2003 (paper no. 14) regarding the above-identified application as follows.

**REMARKS**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his consideration of the subject application. Applicant further thanks the Examiner for indicating the claim 11 contains allowable subject matter. The Examiner has rejected all other pending claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in light of various combinations of the following alleged prior art references: (i) U.S. Patent No.